
EDUCATION & SCIENCE
2025-I
Editor
Assoc. Prof. Songül KARABATAK
EFE ACADEMY PUBLISHING
EDUCATION & SCIENCE 2025-I
Editor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Songül KARABATAK
EDUCATION
& SCIENCE
2025-I
Editor
Assoc. Prof. Songül KARABATAK
EFE ACADEMY PUBLISHING

EDUCATION & SCIENCE
2025
-I-

Editor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Songül KARABATAK

EFE ACADEMY PUBLISHING

EDUCATION & SCIENCE 2025-I

Editor
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Songül KARABATAK ORCID (0000-0002-1303-2429)

ISBN: 978-625-392-484-3
E-ISBN: 978-625-392-485-0
DOI: https://doi.org/10.59617/efepub2025102
First Edition: March 2025

This book; publication, sale and copying rights belong to EFE ACADEMY.

Library Card

EDUCATION & SCIENCE 2025-I
KARABATAK, Songül
First Edition, 108 p., 160 x 235 mm.
Type : Original Scientific Book
Keywords:
1. Education 2. Research 3. Science 4. Teaching 5. Teacher

Design	İsa Burak GÜNGÖR (burakgungor@efeakademi.com)
Cover Design	Gamze DUMLU (gamzedumlu.2710@gmail.com)
Certificate No	49168
Printing Certificate No	49168
Efe Akademik Publishing	Cağaloğlu Yokuşu Cemal Nadir Sokak Büyük Milas Han No: 24/125 Fatih/ İSTANBUL 0212 520 52 00 - www.efeakademi.com
Efe Akademik Publishing Printing Adress:	Cağaloğlu Yokuşu Cemal Nadir Sokak Büyük Milas Han No: 24/125 Fatih/ İSTANBUL 0212 520 52 00 - www.efeakademi.com

EFE ACADEMY PUBLISHING

PREFACE

Since societies are constantly moving and focused on development, educational organizations should improve their education processes. Today, we could say that the most significant change has occurred in technology. The digital transformation experienced with the development of technology has also caused educational organizations to be affected by this transformation. Educational organizations should be ahead of society and lead change to meet social needs. Being a learning organization of educational organizations is closely related to the transformations they will experience. For this reason, it is crucial to address the development processes of educational organizations.

I hope that the perspective presented by this book will be beneficial for educators and offer a different perspective on the digital transformation of the education process. I would like to thank esteemed academicians and the EFE ACADEMY family, who contributed to the book's preparation.

Editor

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Songül KARABATAK

CONTENTS/CHAPTERS

CHAPTER I

LEARNING-CENTERED LEADERSHIP IN SCHOOLS: PRINCIPLES, PRACTICES, AND IMPACTS.....7
Songül KARABATAK, Ezgi BOZKURT

CHAPTER II

EFFECTIVE TEACHING MODEL IN EDUCATION 19
Gökhan UYANIK

CHAPTER III

THE NEED FOR A CURRICULUM BEYOND ALGORITHMS: CULTIVATING HUMAN FLOURISHING IN THE AGE OF AI 31
İsmet ŞAHİN

CHAPTER IV

PROFESSIONAL ETHICS IN EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION: A STUDY OF TEACHER CANDIDATES' PERSPECTIVES..... 57
Ahmet SAKİN, Duygu YALMAN POLATLAR

CHAPTER V

ACADEMIC AND SOCIAL ENGAGEMENT FOR ALL COLLEGE STUDENTS..... 77
Mirze BAYDU, İsmet KAYA

CHAPTER VI

CHANGE IN UNIVERSITY VISION 87
Ali Rıza ERDEM

THE NEED FOR A CURRICULUM BEYOND ALGORITHMS: CULTIVATING HUMAN FLOURISHING IN THE AGE OF AI

İsmet ŞAHİN

- Kurum Bilgisi: Kocaeli Üniversitesi, Eğitim Fakültesi İzmit, Kocaeli/TURKIYE
- ORCID: 0000-0002-2268-9289
- Mail: ismetsahin@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION: The Need for a Curriculum Beyond Algorithms

In the age of artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and algorithm-driven decision-making, human roles, skills, and educational priorities are undergoing an unprecedented transformation. As machines become increasingly capable of performing routine, analytical, and even creative tasks, the fundamental question arises: What remains uniquely human in the learning process? How should education systems evolve to cultivate human abilities that transcend computational efficiency? The need for a curriculum beyond algorithms stems from the urgency to redesign education in a way that fosters human flourishing, ensuring that individuals are equipped not merely to coexist with intelligent machines but to lead, innovate, and shape a meaningful future.

Education has traditionally prepared individuals for industrial economies. However, rapid technological advancements are making this model obsolete. Post-positivist theorists like Biesta and Burbules (2003) and Lincoln and Guba (1985) challenge the notion of absolute objectivity in learning, advocating for critical thinking, contextual inquiry, and interdisciplinary approaches. This shift highlights the need for a curriculum that prioritizes adaptability, creativity, and ethical reasoning—human capacities that AI cannot fully replicate.

As machines automate knowledge retrieval and data processing, human creativity, intuitive decision-making, ethical reasoning, and social-emotional intelligence become central to meaningful work and citizenship. Education must adopt reconstructivist approaches, grounded in Humanist Philosophy and Post-Positivist epistemology, to prioritize human potential, adaptability, and ethical reasoning in response to technological advancements (Dewey, 1938; Rogers, 1983). Post-positivist educational theory acknowledges the limitations of absolute knowledge transmission, advocating for learning models that embrace subjectivity, multiple perspectives, and the evolving nature of understanding (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

This chapter explores the imperative for educational transformation in the post-technology era and conducts a theoretical synthesis of educational models. It examines how emerging technological disruptions, global challenges, and shifting societal structures necessitate a curriculum that prioritizes human agency, ethical decision-making, and the ability to engage

in complex problem-solving across disciplines. Additionally, the chapter investigates various models for structuring school learning, emphasizing interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary, and skill-based approaches that align with the evolving demands of the postmodern world. Rather than proposing an entirely new curriculum or merely critiquing existing models, it synthesizes post-positivist epistemology and humanist philosophy into a practical, inquiry-based learning (IBL) framework tailored for the post-technology era. By integrating critical realism, methodological pluralism, and a focus on human potential, this framework shifts education from static knowledge transfer to the cultivation of uniquely human capacities such as creativity, ethical reasoning, and adaptability that complement and transcend AI's capabilities. Through a blend of theoretical analysis and actionable strategies, including interdisciplinary curriculum design and real-world educational examples, the chapter offers valuable guidance for educators and policymakers.

This chapter systematically explores curriculum transformation beyond algorithms through five key sections:

1. Post-Positivism and Humanist Philosophy – Examines the shift from positivist knowledge paradigms to post-positivist perspectives, emphasizing critical realism, methodological pluralism, and reflexivity.
2. The Need for Change: Technology and Human Roles – Highlights how AI and automation necessitate educational reform to cultivate uniquely human capacities.
3. The Human Imperative: Adaptation and Skills – Identifies essential post-technology skills, including intuition, ethical reasoning, emotional intelligence, and creativity.
4. Redefining Schools, Curricula, and Assessment – Explores the transition from rigid, discipline-based instruction to dynamic, interdisciplinary, inquiry-driven learning.
5. Transformative Teaching Practices in the Age of Artificial Intelligence – Approaches like Reggio Emilia, Phenomenon-Based Learning, STEAM/STEM, and the IB framework that emphasize human-centric education.

This transition to a curriculum beyond algorithms represents not merely an educational reform but a profound reconceptualization of learning, human potential, and societal progress. Through redefined curricula, teaching

methods, and learning environments, education can move beyond information delivery to a holistic framework fostering creativity, ethical judgment, and critical inquiry. In an era where AI performs tasks such as composing symphonies and diagnosing diseases, the skills enabling humans to thrive are rooted in distinctly human strengths. A contribution to the ongoing discourse on education's future is offered here, grounded in scientific analysis and oriented toward cultivating human flourishing in the age of AI.

Humanist Philosophy and Post-Positivist Epistemology as Catalysts for Change

Humanist philosophy, according to Freire (1970), rooted in the belief in the inherent dignity and potential of every individual, emphasizes the importance of personal growth, ethical reasoning, and the pursuit of knowledge for the betterment of humanity. Humanism places human experiences, values, and agency at the center of educational and social practices, advocating for a learner-centered approach that fosters critical thinking, creativity, and empathy (Rogers, 1983). In the context of education, humanist principles have inspired pedagogical models that prioritize student autonomy, collaborative learning, and the development of moral and ethical awareness (Maslow, 1968). In an era dominated by artificial intelligence and automation, humanist philosophy reminds us that education must not only equip individuals with technical skills but also cultivate the ethical and emotional intelligence necessary to navigate complex societal challenges.

Post-positivism emerged as a response to the limitations of positivism, particularly in the social sciences. While positivism asserts that knowledge can only be derived from observable, measurable, and objective facts, post-positivism acknowledges the complexity of human experience and the limitations of absolute objectivity. As Phillips & Burbules (2000) mentioned post-positivists argue that while objective reality exists, our understanding of it is inherently influenced by human subjectivity, biases, and theoretical frameworks. This paradigm emphasizes critical realism, which posits that reality exists independently of our perceptions but can only be understood imperfectly through fallible human interpretations (Guba & Lincoln, 1994).

Key Characteristics of Post-Positivism:

- Fallibilism: Knowledge is tentative and subject to revision in light of new evidence.

- Critical Realism: Reality exists but is understood through imperfect, theory-laden lenses.
- Methodological Pluralism: Use of both quantitative and qualitative methods to triangulate findings (Howe, 1988).
- Value-Laden Inquiry: Research is influenced by the values and perspectives of the researcher (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

The integration of humanist philosophy and post-positivist epistemology has profoundly influenced education by promoting more inclusive, reflexive, and context-sensitive approaches. In curriculum design, this integration emphasizes critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and the importance of cultural and social contexts in shaping learning experiences (Biesta & Burbules, 2003). For Creswell and Poth (2018) post-positivist research methodologies, such as mixed methods, allow educators to combine quantitative data with qualitative insights to better understand the complexities of teaching and learning.

In an era of rapid technological transformation, post-positivism is crucial for understanding the evolving relationship between humans and artificial intelligence. As AI systems increasingly influence decision-making, work, and social structures, the notion of absolute objectivity becomes questionable. Post-positivism challenges this assumption, emphasizing that human biases, values, and contextual factors inevitably shape the development and application of technology. Furthermore, as human roles shift in response to automation and intelligent systems, education must evolve accordingly. A post-positivist approach to curriculum reform prioritizes critical thinking, reflexivity, and ethical literacy, equipping individuals with the skills needed to engage with AI responsibly and shape its trajectory in alignment with human values.

The fusion of humanist philosophy and post-positivist epistemology offers a powerful framework for addressing the challenges of the 21st century. By emphasizing the importance of human dignity, ethical reasoning, and critical inquiry, this integrated approach ensures that education remains a transformative force in an increasingly complex and technology-driven world.

Need for a Change: Technological Innovations and Human Conflict

21st-century technology rapidly transforms human life. Neural interfaces, like those pioneered by Neuralink, promise to merge human

cognition with machines. AI and machine learning advancements from GPT models to DeepMind's breakthroughs automate cognitive tasks. Robotics, exemplified by Boston Dynamics' Atlas and Tesla's Optimus, redefine physical labor, while quantum computing, such as Google's Willow chip, unlocks unprecedented computational power. Beyond these, gene editing technologies like CRISPR rewrite the human genome, offering cures for genetic diseases, and Extended Reality (XR) blends digital and physical worlds, transforming education and social interaction. Fusion energy, with breakthroughs like ITER's (a fusion reactor in France) progress, could provide limitless clean power to sustain this technological leap.

Emerging Technologies Reshaping Humanity

Neural Interfaces and Brain-Computer Integration

Neuralink, a neurotechnology company founded by Elon Musk, has been pioneering brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) that allow direct communication between the human brain and machines. These devices promise to revolutionize medicine by treating neurological disorders, enhancing cognitive abilities, and enabling direct communication between humans and machines (Musk, 2019). However, the ethical and societal implications of such technology are profound, raising questions about privacy, autonomy, cognitive surveillance, potential hacking, and the potential for inequality as Kellogg et al. mentioned (2021).

Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Automation

AI has made significant strides in recent years. AI-driven tools, such as OpenAI's GPT models, Google's DeepMind advancements, Grok 3 of xAI, and recently China's Deepseek's innovative contributions, are demonstrating remarkable capabilities in natural language processing, image recognition, and decision-making. These advancements, according to Russell & Norvig, (2021), are rapidly enhancing machine learning, enabling systems to perform complex cognitive tasks once thought exclusive to humans. These technologies are already automating jobs in industries such as finance, healthcare, and customer service, reducing the demand for human labor while increasing efficiency (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). However, as AI systems become more advanced, there is growing concern about job displacement and the erosion of human skills (Frey & Osborne, 2017).

Robotics and the Changing Human-Machine Relationship

Robotic technologies are transforming many industries. The development of humanoid and autonomous robots, such as Boston Dynamics' Atlas and Tesla's Optimus, suggests that machines will soon take over many physical and cognitive roles traditionally performed by humans (Siciliano & Khatib, 2016). They are capable of performing complex physical tasks and interacting with humans in social settings. These advances will redefine human endeavour, necessitating a shift in human workforce capabilities. While increasing efficiency, they also raise questions about the future of human labor and the potential for widespread job loss (Ford, 2015).

Quantum Computing and Google's Quantum Chip Willow

Quantum computing has demonstrated capabilities far surpassing classical computers in specific problem-solving scenarios (Arute et al., 2019). Google's quantum chip, Willow, represents a significant leap in computing power. These advancements have the potential to disrupt cryptography, logistics, climate modeling and artificial intelligence, leading to both groundbreaking possibilities and significant security concerns. However, it also poses challenges, particularly in terms of cybersecurity and the potential for misuse. As technology advances, humans must prioritize creativity, critical thinking, emotional intelligence, and complex problem-solving, skills resistant to automation. To support this shift, education must evolve, integrating arts, philosophy, ethics, and social sciences alongside STEM. Lifelong learning and adaptability will be essential for thriving in a rapidly changing world.

The Human Imperative: Adaptation and New Skillsets

As artificial intelligence (AI) and automation continue to evolve, machines are increasingly taking over both routine and complex cognitive tasks, fundamentally reshaping the nature of work and human roles. To thrive in this post-technological landscape, individuals must develop skills that are uniquely human in areas where they maintain a distinct advantage over AI. This necessitates not only acquiring new competencies but also adopting new cognitive approaches that emphasize creativity, emotional intelligence, and ethical reasoning, qualities that machines cannot replicate. The following discussion search for these essential human skills and thought processes,

drawing upon academic research to highlight their significance in shaping a sustainable and human-centered future.

Intuition, Fuzzy Logic, and Decision-Making

Unlike machines, which rely on structured data and explicit logic, humans possess the unique ability to make decisions based on incomplete, ambiguous, or rapidly changing information. This capability, often described as intuition or fuzzy logic, which is essential according to Zadeh (1965), in leadership, crisis management, diplomacy, and creative problem-solving. As mentioned by Fox & Christoff (2018) intuition operates as a subconscious cognitive process, integrating experience-based pattern recognition, emotional intelligence, and somatic awareness to guide decision-making in uncertain environments. Unlike AI, which depends solely on quantifiable inputs, human intuition allows for adaptive reasoning, enabling quick yet effective decision-making when traditional analytical methods fall short (Dane & Pratt, 2023). In complex or high-stakes situations where complete data is unavailable, intuition helps individuals navigate uncertainty and make sound judgments.

Creativity and Artistic Expression

While AI can generate impressive works of art, music, and literature by mimicking existing styles and patterns, it lacks the emotional depth, cultural context, and intrinsic motivation that define true human creativity. Creativity is essential for innovation, problem-solving, and cultural evolution. Whether in the arts, sciences, or technology, human originality should progress in ways AI cannot replicate, as Sternberg & Lubart, (1999) mentioned, like designing innovative solutions to complex problems that require divergent thinking and engaging in artistic expression. Creativity is not merely the recombination of existing ideas; it involves exploration, transformation, and personal expression, qualities that remain uniquely human (Boden, 1998). AI functions as a powerful tool for assisting and expanding creative possibilities, but it does not possess the lived experiences, emotions, and cultural awareness that enhance artistic originality.

Ethical and Moral Reasoning

The increasing integration of AI and machines into decision-making processes brings a growing complexity to ethical considerations. While AI can operate within predefined ethical guidelines, it lacks the nuanced judgment, empathy, and cultural awareness needed to navigate morally

sensitive situations. For Floridi (2018) ensuring the responsible development and deployment of emerging technologies requires active human involvement in shaping policies and regulatory frameworks. Bostrom and Yudkowsky (2014) emphasize that AI can function within programmed ethical constraints but it struggles with real-world moral dilemmas that demand human intuition and societal context. The responsibility of AI ethics, particularly in areas such as privacy, bias, and accountability, remains a distinctly human duty. Without careful human oversight, AI-driven decisions could reinforce biases, worsen social inequalities, and violate basic human rights.

Emotional Intelligence and Human Connection

Despite rapid advancements in AI, understanding human emotions and fostering deep interpersonal relationships remain distinctly human capabilities. Fields that require empathy, emotional depth, and psychological insight such as caregiving, counseling, and leadership continue to rely on human intelligence. While AI can recognize facial expressions and analyze speech patterns to infer emotions, it lacks the ability to truly empathize, interpret cultural and situational nuances, or form genuine emotional bonds. Emotional intelligence is crucial for leadership, teamwork, and social cohesion, enabling conflict resolution, effective negotiation, and authentic leadership (Boyatzis, 2018). It also fosters resilience, adaptability, and cooperation—essential skills in dynamic, human-centered environments.

Human collaboration relies on complex social dynamics that machines cannot replicate. Successful teams thrive on trust, rapport, and shared cues beyond data processing (Pentland, 2012). Collective intelligence emerges from interaction, communication, and emotional attunement, not computational efficiency (Woolley et al., 2010). Machines cannot interpret social dynamics, resolve conflicts, or build trust. As AI advances, human skills in emotional connection, social navigation, and empathetic leadership remain invaluable.

Strategic and Abstract Thinking

Strategic thinking requires synthesizing diverse information sources, anticipating future challenges, and formulating innovative solutions that go beyond computational logic. Additionally, abstract thinking allows humans to conceptualize novel ideas, explore theoretical possibilities, and engage in philosophical or ethical debates in areas where AI remains limited. While AI is highly proficient at recognizing patterns and optimizing existing processes,

it struggles with the complexities of strategic vision and abstract reasoning. Human cognition extends beyond data processing, incorporating foresight, adaptability, and the ability to navigate uncertainty. AI primarily operates analyzing large datasets and making statistically driven predictions. However, human strategic thinking integrates rational analysis with intuitive insights informed by experience, emotional intelligence, and contextual awareness. Moreover, long-term vision, historical perspective, and ethical considerations play a crucial role in human decision-making, elements that AI cannot fully replicate..

Adaptability, Meta-Learning, and Lifelong Learning

In an era of rapid technological and societal change, adaptability and lifelong learning are essential for human sustainability and workforce relevance (World Economic Forum, 2020). The ability to learn how to learn, reassess perspectives, and adapt to new environments is critical in a dynamic digital landscape. Mezirow (1997) emphasizes transformative learning, where individuals critically reflect on assumptions and adjust to new realities, fostering intellectual growth and resilience. Beyond technical skills, lifelong learning includes emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and interdisciplinary thinking, vital for navigating complex environments (Kolb, 1984). A growth mindset, as highlighted by Dweck (2016), enables individuals to face challenges with resilience and openness. Those who continuously seek knowledge, experiment with new tools, and refine their skills will not only remain employable but also drive innovation and problem-solving.

Philosophy and Existential Inquiry

Machines, despite their computational power, lack the intrinsic curiosity and self-awareness that drive human inquiry into existence, consciousness, and meaning in areas where human thought remains powerful. Philosophical exploration is a distinctly human endeavor, shaping cultural and ethical norms, guiding technological advancements, and fostering societal progress (Searle, 1980). While AI can process and analyze philosophical texts, it does not engage in existential questioning or subjective reflection, which are fundamental to human identity and intellectual evolution. Philosophy not only seeks to understand reality but also provides frameworks for addressing moral dilemmas, justice, and the ethical implications of emerging technologies (Floridi, 2019). As AI and automation reshape human experiences, questions about free will, intelligence, and ethical technology use

become urgent. Existential inquiry encourages individuals to reflect on their purpose, values, and the broader impact of their actions, reinforcing humanity's capacity for self-reflection and ethical responsibility. Additionally, philosophy bridges disciplines, integrating insights from science, art, politics, and psychology to build a holistic understanding of the world.

Critical Thinking and Complex Problem Solving

While artificial intelligence is highly effective at optimizing existing solutions and processing vast amounts of data, it struggles with novel, ambiguous, and non-traditional problems that require lateral thinking, creativity, and real-world improvisation. Humans, on the other hand, excel at interpreting context, making ethical judgments, and addressing ill-defined challenges that demand a nuanced understanding of social, cultural, and moral complexities (Facione, 2011). Csikszentmihalyi (1996) highlights that true innovation stems from deep knowledge, intrinsic motivation, and interdisciplinary connections which are qualities that remain uniquely human. Critical thinking enables individuals to assess the ethical implications of AI-driven decisions, ensuring that technological advancements align with societal values and human well-being. Additionally, complex problem-solving requires integrating multiple perspectives, synthesizing diverse information, and adapting strategies to dynamic conditions. In a rapidly evolving world, the ability to think critically and tackle multifaceted problems remains a fundamental human advantage, complementing AI's computational capabilities while preserving ethical and intellectual agency.

The Sustainability of the Human Manifesto

As technology and artificial intelligence take over many aspects of human labor, the search for meaning and the existential sustainability of humanity become increasingly significant. Bostrom (2014) argues that technological progress poses the risk of rendering humans obsolete while also providing opportunities for self-redefinition. Harari (2017) suggests that in the future, humans will not only exist as biological entities but will also differentiate themselves from machines through creativity, ethics, and emotional depth. In this context, a sustainable human manifesto requires a focus on intuition, ethical reasoning, artistic creativity, and philosophical inquiry to maintain human uniqueness. Positioning human existence not merely within the framework of production but in the realms of meaning creation and consciousness development is a crucial necessity for the sustainability of human civilization.

Human-Machine Collaboration and Digital and AI Literacy

The future of work will not be defined by human replacement but by seamless collaboration between humans and artificial intelligence, where both leverage their unique strengths (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). To achieve this synergy, AI systems must be designed to augment human capabilities rather than substitute them, ensuring intuitive interfaces that facilitate effective cooperation (Wilson & Daugherty, 2018). However, such collaboration requires a deep understanding of AI and digital systems, making digital and AI literacy indispensable for individuals across all sectors. Beyond mere technical proficiency, this literacy involves the ability to critically interpret AI-generated outputs, recognize algorithmic biases, and understand the ethical implications of automated decision-making (O'Neil, 2016). As AI becomes embedded in decision-making processes, human oversight and informed engagement will be crucial in ensuring that technology serves societal values while enhancing human agency.

Technology swiftly transforms our world. While advancements like Neuralink, AI, robotics, and quantum computing offer tremendous benefits, they also pose significant challenges. The ongoing technological revolution necessitates a paradigm shift in human skill development. While AI will continue to automate routine and even some complex tasks, uniquely human qualities such as intuition, creativity, ethical reasoning, emotional intelligence, strategic vision, intuition, adaptability, philosophical inquiry, and innovation will remain essential. By focusing on these areas, humanity can coexist with AI, leveraging its capabilities while advancing human potential in ways that machines cannot replicate rather than a conflict-driven displacement. We must ensure that technology serves as a tool for enhancing human potential rather than replacing it.

Post-Technology Era: Schools, Curriculum, Teachers, and Assessment

Modern education systems have undergone significant transformations, integrating technology and interdisciplinary approaches to prepare students for a rapidly changing world (Frey & Osborne, 2017). However, as artificial intelligence (AI) and automation redefine the division of labor between humans and machines, education must adapt to equip students with skills that machines cannot replicate (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). Education

systems must adapt to prepare students for a world where human skills like creativity, critical thinking, and ethical reasoning are paramount (Frey & Osborne, 2017).

Schools and Teaching Approaches in the Post-Technology Era

According to Luckin (2018) modern schools rely on structured curricula, standardized learning objectives, and a mix of traditional and digital teaching methods. However, the post-technology era demands a paradigm shift in education:

- From Structured Learning to Personalized and Adaptive Learning: AI-powered adaptive learning systems will enable personalized education, catering to individual learning styles and paces (Holmes et al., 2019).

- From Discipline-Based Learning to Interdisciplinary Problem-Solving: Future education must break down silos between subjects, fostering interdisciplinary approaches to solve complex global challenges (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

- From Information Retention to Critical and Creative Thinking: Memorization will be replaced by skills like critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving (Frey & Osborne, 2017).

- From Static Classrooms to Dynamic Learning Environments: Hybrid and virtual learning spaces will dominate, blending digital tools with experiential and real-world learning (Luckin, 2018).

Human Centered, Reconstructivist, Interdisciplinary, Inquiry and Skill-Based Curriculum

The post-technology era necessitates a curriculum that is human-centered, reconstructivist, interdisciplinary, and inquiry-driven, grounded in Humanist Philosophy, Post-Positivist Epistemology, and as Rogers (1983) mentioned, a focus on human potential, adaptability, and ethical reasoning. To achieve these changes and foster meaningful societal transformation, education must embrace reconstructivist principles (Dewey, 1938), viewing learning as a tool for social reform. Inspired by Dewey and other progressive educators, reconstructivism promotes experiential learning, collaboration, and problem-solving, empowering students to address real-world challenges and contribute to a more equitable, sustainable future.

Interdisciplinary Approaches in Curriculum Design

An interdisciplinary curriculum integrates knowledge across multiple fields to foster deeper understanding and real-world application (Jacobs, 1989; Misiewicz, 2016). Several models guide this approach:

- Parallel Interdisciplinary Approach: Synchronizes related topics across subjects, enhancing coherence and multiple perspectives (Güler & Şahin, 2025).
- Multidisciplinary Approach: Juxtaposes disciplines around common themes, suited for younger learners (Meeth, 1978; Helmane & Briška, 2017).
- Transdisciplinary Approach: Breaks traditional boundaries, addressing real-world problems through diverse fields (Jacobs, 1989; Meeth, 1978).
- Crossdisciplinary & Pluridisciplinary Models: Examine one discipline through another or connect related fields, while thematic learning organizes education around broader themes (Güler & Şahin, 2025).

Regardless of the model, interdisciplinary education strengthens critical thinking, problem-solving, and the ability to connect knowledge across domains, preparing students for complex global challenges.

Organisation of Learning in Interdisciplinary Curriculum Design

Effective interdisciplinary curricula integrate content-based, skill-based, and problem-based learning approaches:

- Content-Based Learning promotes interdisciplinary connections by integrating subjects broadly (e.g., social sciences, life sciences, and arts) or narrowly (maintaining core subjects while fostering interdisciplinary links) (Jacobs, 1989; Meeth, 1978).
- Skill-Based Learning prioritizes competencies like critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration, ensuring adaptability in a fast-changing world (Robinson, 2011; Luckin, 2018).
- Problem-Based Learning focuses on real-world challenges such as sustainability, innovation, and health, fostering interdisciplinary problem-solving skills (Helmane & Briška, 2017).

These approaches ensure education remains relevant, adaptable, and skill-focused, equipping students with lifelong learning abilities.

Inquiry-Based Learning: A Key to the Post-Technology Era

As AI and automation reshape the workforce, Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) fosters curiosity, problem-solving, and adaptability—crucial skills for navigating an AI-driven world (Frey & Osborne, 2017; Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). Unlike traditional education models that focus on passive knowledge transfer, IBL emphasizes active exploration, enabling students to investigate real-world problems and construct knowledge through hands-on experiences.

IBL encourages:

- Curiosity-Driven Exploration – Shifting from rigid content delivery to student-led inquiry.
- Experiential and Personalized Learning – Fostering critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration (Holmes et al., 2019; Luckin, 2018).
- Ethical and Digital Literacy – Encouraging students to explore ethical AI use and data-driven decision-making (Floridi, 2018).

Teachers act as facilitators, guiding inquiry, fostering interdisciplinary connections, and leveraging digital tools to prepare students for a rapidly evolving world.

Key Areas for Curriculum Development in the Post-Technology Era

To align education with future demands, curricula must prioritize interdisciplinary, inquiry, and skill-based learning, focusing on:

- Systems Thinking & Strategic Reasoning: Teaching students to analyze complex systems and develop strategic solutions to global challenges.
- Creativity & Art-Based Thinking: Integrating arts into STEM (STEAM) to foster innovation and creative problem-solving (Robinson, 2011).
- Ethics & Social Responsibility: Addressing AI ethics, data privacy, and moral dilemmas in technology (Floridi, 2018).
- Digital Literacy & Data Science: Equipping students with skills to analyze big data, predict trends, and make data-driven decisions (Ng, 2018).
- Empathy & Emotional Intelligence Development: Incorporating social-emotional learning to strengthen interpersonal skills and ethical leadership.

By embedding interdisciplinary learning, problem-solving, and ethical reasoning at the core, education can prepare students to adapt, innovate, and lead in an AI-driven world.

Evolution of Assessment and Evaluation Systems

The evolution of assessment and evaluation systems in the AI era is transitioning from traditional exam-based methods to more holistic and adaptive approaches. AI-driven analytics now play a central role, providing continuous feedback and personalized learning paths to monitor student progress and tailor educational experiences (Luckin, 2018; Frey & Osborne, 2017). Real-life projects and case studies are increasingly utilized to assess higher-order skills such as creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving. Collaborative tasks, group projects, and peer reviews are also emphasized to evaluate teamwork, communication, and social skills, reflecting the growing importance of these competencies in modern education (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). Furthermore, portfolios and reflective journals are gaining prominence as tools to document students' inquiry processes, including research, experimentation, and reflection, while promoting metacognition and self-assessment (Luckin, 2018).

Future Role of Teachers and Mentors

In the post-technology era, the role of teachers will shift from knowledge dispensers to facilitators and mentors. Luckin (2018) claimed that teachers will become learning designers, crafting interdisciplinary, AI-enhanced learning experiences, posing open-ended questions, and providing resources for exploration. They will focus on fostering critical thinking and creativity, encouraging students to challenge assumptions and explore multiple perspectives (Robinson, 2011). Additionally, teachers will offer emotional and intellectual support, mentoring students to develop emotional intelligence and resilience, as exemplified by programs like RULER, an evidence-based social and emotional learning approach from the Yale Center for Emotional Intelligence (Boyatzis, 2018). To remain effective, teachers will engage in lifelong learning and professional development, ensuring they stay adaptable and proficient with emerging technologies. Furthermore, they will support interdisciplinary learning by collaborating across disciplines to design inquiry-based projects that integrate science, art, ethics, and technology (Luckin, 2018). This transformation will enable teachers to guide students in navigating a complex, AI-driven world.

Teachers will play a pivotal role as mentors and learning designers, guiding students through personalized, technology-enhanced education. By embracing these changes, education systems can prepare students for a future where human skills are more valuable than ever. Schools must embrace flexible, student-centered approaches, supported by technology and guided by skilled teachers. Inquiry-based education not only prepares students for the future but also empowers them to shape it.

Transformative Teaching Practices in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

As artificial intelligence (AI) transforms the global landscape, education systems must adapt to prepare students for a future where human creativity, critical thinking, and adaptability are paramount. Below are some exemplary educational approaches that align with the demands of the AI era, supported by research and evidence.

Finland’s Phenomenon-Based Learning

Finland’s Phenomenon-Based Learning (PhenoBL) represents a transformative shift in education by dissolving traditional subject boundaries in favor of interdisciplinary, real-world explorations. In this model, students engage with complex phenomena, ranging from climate change and migration to technological advancements, by integrating perspectives from science, humanities, arts, and social studies, thereby constructing a holistic understanding of multifaceted issues (Symeonidis & Schwarz, 2016). The curriculum is organized around thematic units rather than isolated disciplines, encouraging learners to make connections across areas of knowledge and fostering skills such as critical thinking, collaboration, and creative problem-solving. This approach is particularly suited for preparing students to navigate an AI-driven world, where adaptability and the capacity to synthesize diverse viewpoints are essential. Assessment in PhenoBL moves away from traditional, high-stakes examinations toward more authentic, formative methods. Evaluations are conducted through project-based assessments, portfolios, peer reviews, and self-reflection exercises that document not only the final outcomes but also the learning process itself. In summary, Finland's Phenomenon-Based Learning model integrates curriculum design, authentic assessment, and a collaborative teacher role to foster an educational environment that prioritizes inquiry, adaptability, and deep, interdisciplinary

understanding—qualities that are indispensable in the era of artificial intelligence.

International Baccalaureate (IB) Schools as a Model for Future Education

The International Baccalaureate (IB) framework represents a robust and adaptable educational model that is particularly well-suited for the evolving demands of an AI-driven era. Central to the IB philosophy is the recognition that as technology automates routine tasks, education must increasingly focus on developing uniquely human skills such as critical thinking, creativity, ethical reasoning, and global citizenship that machines cannot replicate (Hill, 2012). The IB curriculum is methodically structured yet flexible, comprising four distinct programs (IBO, 2020): the Primary Years Programme (PYP), the Middle Years Programme (MYP), the Diploma Programme (DP), and the Career-Related Programme (CP). Each program is designed to progressively cultivate inquiry, interdisciplinary thinking, and student agency. At its core, IB education emphasizes inquiry-based learning, wherein students are encouraged to take ownership of their learning through concept-driven exploration, real-world applications, and authentic assessments that move beyond traditional rote memorization.

By integrating interdisciplinary and inquiry-based learning with a focus on ethical and global perspectives, the IB framework effectively prepares students for the uncertainties of a rapidly evolving technological landscape. The curriculum not only fosters academic excellence but also equips learners with lifelong skills such as adaptability, innovative problem-solving, and effective communication. These competencies are essential for navigating a future in which human oversight and creativity will remain critical even as machines handle increasingly complex data-driven tasks.

Competency-Based Education (CBE)

Competency-Based Education shifts the focus from time spent in class to the mastery of specific skills and knowledge. This model allows students to progress at their own pace, ensuring that essential concepts are fully understood before advancing. By emphasizing personalized learning and mastery, CBE aligns well with a rapidly changing job market where demonstrable skills are paramount. Studies show that CBE can help close learning gaps and improve overall educational outcomes (Lockee, 2019).

Hybrid and Blended Learning Models

Hybrid and blended learning models combine traditional in-person instruction with digital platforms. This approach leverages technology to offer personalized feedback, adaptive learning pathways, and flexible scheduling while maintaining the benefits of face-to-face interaction. The integration of AI within these models can further tailor the educational experience to individual student needs. Research indicates that blended learning environments improve student performance and engagement (Horn & Staker, 2015).

Global Collaborative Networks and Virtual Exchange Programs

As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, educational systems must cultivate global competence. Virtual exchange programs and global collaborative networks enable students to work with peers from diverse cultural and geographic backgrounds. Such interactions broaden perspectives and enhance digital communication and teamwork skills essential in a global economy. Studies have found that virtual exchanges enhance cross-cultural understanding and digital literacy, preparing students for collaborative work in a globally connected environment (O'Donnell, 2005).

Lifelong Learning and Micro-Credentialing Systems

The future of work demands continuous upskilling and reskilling. Lifelong learning systems that incorporate micro-credentialing—through digital badges or certificates—empower individuals to acquire and demonstrate new skills as needed. These flexible, modular learning opportunities can be rapidly updated to reflect emerging industry requirements. Research suggests that micro-credentials and modular learning pathways not only support career advancement but also foster a culture of continuous education (Chen, 2017).

Some other good approaches of many more may be listed as follows;

- Reggio Emilia Approach

The Reggio Emilia approach, originating in Italy, emphasizes child-centered, experiential learning. It views children as active participants in their education, encouraging exploration, creativity, and collaboration (Edwards et al., 2012). In the age of AI, this approach fosters skills like problem-solving, emotional intelligence, and self-directed learning—qualities that machines

cannot replicate. By integrating technology as a tool for creativity rather than a replacement for human interaction, Reggio Emilia schools prepare students to thrive in a world where human connection and innovation are key (Katz, 2010). Central to the Reggio Emilia approach is the concept of the learning environment as the "third teacher". Classrooms are carefully designed to be aesthetically pleasing, flexible, and resource-rich, stimulating exploration, creativity, and communication. Artistic expression plays a vital role in this approach. Children use a variety of media—such as drawing, sculpting, and digital tools—to express their ideas and document their learning journeys. This process not only enhances cognitive skills but also builds emotional intelligence and communication abilities, helping children articulate their thoughts and emotions effectively (Edwards et al., 2012).

- STEAM and STEM Education

STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics) and STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education emphasize interdisciplinary, hands-on learning. These approaches prepare students for careers in technology and innovation while fostering creativity and critical thinking (Sanders, 2009). In the age of AI, STEAM/STEM education equips students with technical skills and the ability to apply them creatively. By integrating arts into STEM, students develop a balanced skill set that combines analytical thinking with imaginative problem-solving.

- Social-Emotional Learning (SEL)

As AI takes over technical tasks, human skills like empathy, collaboration, and emotional intelligence become increasingly valuable. Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) programs focus on developing these skills, helping students build self-awareness, manage emotions, and work effectively with others. SEL complements technical education by ensuring students are well-rounded individuals capable of thriving in a technology-driven, interconnected world (CASEL, 2020).

- Design Thinking Curriculum

This model integrates design thinking—a problem-solving approach used in fields like engineering and business—into the curriculum. Students learn to identify problems, brainstorm solutions, prototype, and test their ideas. It emphasizes creativity, empathy, and iterative learning, preparing students for innovation-driven careers (Razzouk & Shute, 2012)

- Place-Based Education (PBE)

Place-Based Education connects learning to local communities and environments. Students engage in projects that address local issues, such as environmental sustainability or cultural preservation. This model fosters a sense of community, environmental stewardship, and real-world problem-solving (Sobel, 2004).

- Culturally Responsive Curriculum

Culturally Responsive Curriculum integrates students' cultural backgrounds and experiences into the learning process. It aims to make education more inclusive and relevant by addressing diverse perspectives and histories. This model promotes equity and engagement, particularly in multicultural classrooms (Gay, 2018).

The common thread among these educational practices is their focus on human-centric skills—creativity, critical thinking, collaboration, and ethical reasoning. In a world where AI handles data processing and automation, these skills ensure that humans remain relevant and capable of leading innovation.

CONCLUSION: Toward a Curriculum Beyond Algorithms – A Human-Centered Vision for the AI Age

As artificial intelligence and automation continue to reshape society, education must transcend traditional paradigms to cultivate distinctly human capacities that machines cannot replicate. The increasing reliance on algorithmic decision-making, data-driven processes, and automated knowledge retrieval challenges the foundational assumptions of contemporary schooling. The curriculum must, therefore, evolve beyond rote memorization and standardized assessments toward a dynamic, inquiry-driven, and interdisciplinary learning framework that prioritizes human creativity, ethical reasoning, emotional intelligence, and problem-solving skills.

This chapter has explored the pressing need for a curriculum beyond algorithms, advocating for a human-centered educational model that fosters adaptation, resilience, and innovation in an AI-driven world. Post-positivist perspectives challenge the rigid structures of content-heavy, discipline-based learning, emphasizing critical inquiry, contextual understanding, and the co-construction of knowledge. The technological disruptions and societal transformations of the 21st century necessitate a radical rethinking of schools,

curricula, teacher roles, and assessment strategies to prepare students for meaningful, agency-driven futures.

Rethinking the Purpose of Education in the AI Age

When machines can outperform humans in many knowledge-based and technical tasks, the fundamental role of education must be redefined. If rote learning and standardized content delivery are no longer sufficient, then what should be prioritized? Should education focus on problem-solving, ethical reasoning, and creativity, or should it balance AI literacy with uniquely human competencies? These questions are central to the debate on the future of curriculum and schooling. One emerging perspective suggests that the most critical function of education in the AI era is not simply workforce preparation, but human flourishing—the ability to think critically, engage ethically, collaborate effectively, and adapt creatively to an ever-changing world. This humanistic vision of education aligns with post-positivist educational philosophies that emphasize subjectivity, inquiry, and contextual learning over rigid, standardized curricula (Biesta & Burbules, 2003).

The Tensions Between AI Integration and Human-Centered Education

While AI presents unprecedented opportunities for personalized, adaptive learning, it also introduces critical challenges related to equity, agency, and the role of teachers in the learning process. AI-powered educational platforms can enhance inquiry-based learning by providing real-time feedback, customizing learning paths, and automating administrative tasks. However, there is a risk that over-reliance on AI-driven education could lead to the deskilling of teachers, the depersonalization of learning, and the reinforcement of algorithmic biases (Williamson, 2020). Moreover, there is an ongoing debate about the extent to which AI should shape learning experiences. Should schools fully integrate AI-driven assessments to measure inquiry-based competencies, or would this further entrench data-driven educational models that contradict the open-ended, exploratory nature of human learning? Where do we draw the line between using AI as a tool for enhancement and allowing it to dictate learning processes? These questions highlight the delicate balance required to harness AI's potential while preserving the integrity of human-led education.

The Path Forward: Education for Human Flourishing

The future of education is not about competing with AI but about defining and enhancing what it means to be human. The curriculum must move beyond algorithms to cultivate intellectual curiosity, ethical decision-making, creative innovation, and social responsibility—ensuring that learners are equipped not just to survive but to thrive in an era of intelligent machines.

However, this educational transformation cannot be achieved in isolation. It requires a collaborative effort between educators, policymakers, researchers, and industry leaders to ensure that learning remains a deeply human-centered experience. Schools must become laboratories for inquiry, hubs for creativity, and spaces where students are empowered to shape the future rather than merely adapt to it.

As we navigate the post-technology era, the question is not whether AI will change education, it already has. The real question is: How will we ensure that education changes in a way that amplifies human potential rather than diminishing it? A curriculum beyond algorithms is not an option—it is a necessity. Educators must act now—piloting IBL, rethinking assessments, and training teachers—to build a future where humans lead, not follow, the machines they create.

REFERENCES

Arute, F., Arya, K., Babbush, R., Bacon, D., Bardin, J. C., Barends, R., ... & Martinis, J. M. (2019). Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor. Nature, 574(7779), 505–510. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5

Biesta, G., & Burbules, N. C. (2003). Pragmatism and educational research. Rowman & Littlefield.

Boden, M. A. (1998). Creativity and artificial intelligence. Artificial Intelligence, 103(1–2), 347–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(98)00055-1

Bostrom, N. (2014). Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies. Oxford University Press.

Bostrom, N., & Yudkowsky, E. (2014). The ethics of artificial intelligence. In K. Frankish & W. M. Ramsey (Eds.), The Cambridge handbook of artificial intelligence (pp. 316–334). Cambridge University Press.

Boyatzis, R. E. (2018). The behavioral level of emotional intelligence and its measurement. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 1438.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W.W. Norton & Company.

CASEL. (2020). What is SEL? Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning. https://casel.org/what-is-sel/

Chen, X. (2017). The rise of micro-credentials: A new model for education. Innovations in Education, 12(1), 45–60.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). Sage.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1996). Creativity: Flow and the psychology of discovery and invention. HarperCollins.

Dane, E., & Pratt, M. G. (2023). Exploring the strategic role of intuition in managerial decision-making. Academy of Management Collections, 4(1), 24–49. https://doi.org/10.5465/amc.2023.0001

Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and education. Macmillan.

Dweck, C. S. (2016). Mindset: The new psychology of success. Ballantine Books.

Edwards, C., Gandini, L., & Forman, G. (2012). The hundred languages of children: The Reggio Emilia experience in transformation (3rd ed.). Praeger.

Facione, P. A. (2011). Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. Insight Assessment.

Floridi, L. (2018). Soft ethics, the governance of the digital and the General Data Protection Regulation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2133), 20180081. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0081

Floridi, L. (2019). The logic of information: A theory of philosophy as conceptual design. Oxford University Press.

Fox, K. C. R., & Christoff, K. (2018). The cognitive neuroscience of intuition. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 1008. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01008

Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Continuum.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

Gay, G. (2018). Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.

Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), Handbook of qualitative research (pp. 105–117). Sage.

Güler, S. Ç., & Şahin, İ. (2025). The effect of parallel and multidisciplinary approaches in teaching English on student achievement. Learning: Research and Practice, 1–17.

Harari, Y. N. (2017). Homo Deus: A brief history of tomorrow. Harper.

Helmane, I., & Briška, I. (2017). What is developing integrated or interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary or transdisciplinary education in school? Signum Temporis, 9(1), 7–15.

Hill, I. (2012). An international model of world-class education: The International Baccalaureate. Prospects, 42(3), 341–359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-012-9243-9

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Springer.

Horn, M. B., & Staker, H. (2015). Blended: Using disruptive innovation to improve schools. Jossey-Bass.

Howe, K. R. (1988). Against the quantitative-qualitative incompatibility thesis or dogmas die hard. Educational Researcher, 17(8), 10–16. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X01700801

IBO. (2020). What is an IB education? International Baccalaureate Organization. https://www.ibo.org/

Jacobs, H. H. (1989). Interdisciplinary curriculum: Design and implementation. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD).

Katz, L. G. (2010). STEM in the early years. Early Childhood Research & Practice, 12(2). https://ecrp.illinois.edu/beyond/seed/katz.html

Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2021). Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control. Academy of Management Annals, 15(1), 366–410. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.017

Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice Hall.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. Sage.

Lockee, B. (2019). Competency-Based Education in the 21st Century. Educational Researcher, 48(2), 91–101.

Luckin, R. (2018). Machine learning and human intelligence: The future of education for the 21st century. UCL Press.

Maslow, A. H. (1968). Toward a psychology of being. Van Nostrand.

Meeth, L. R. (1978). Interdisciplinary studies: A matter of definition. Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 10(7), 10–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/00091383.1978.10569474

Mezirow, J. (1997). Transformative learning: Theory to practice. New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education, 1997(74), 5–12.

Misiewicz, J. (2016). Interdisciplinary curriculum: An abandoned concept? Journal of Curriculum Studies, 48(4), 507–526.

Musk, E. (2019). An integrated brain-machine interface platform with thousands of channels. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(10), e16194. https://doi.org/10.2196/16194

Ng, A. (2018). AI for everyone. Coursera. Retrieved from https://www.coursera.org/learn/ai-for-everyone

O'Donnell, R. (2005). Global virtual learning: Collaboration beyond borders. E-Learning Magazine, 7(1), 18–25.
O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown Publishing Group.
Pentland, A. (2012). The new science of building great teams. Harvard Business Review, 90(4), 60–69.
Phillips, D. C., & Burbules, N. C. (2000). Postpositivism and educational research. Rowman & Littlefield.
Razzouk, R., & Shute, V. (2012). What is design thinking and why is it important? Review of Educational Research, 82(3), 330–348.
Robinson, K. (2011). Out of our minds: Learning to be creative. Capstone.
Rogers, C. R. (1983). Freedom to learn for the 80s. Merrill.
Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach (4th ed.). Pearson.
Sanders, M. (2009). STEM, STEM education, STEMmania. The Technology Teacher, 68(4), 20–26.
Searle, J. R. (1980). Minds, brains, and programs. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 3(3), 417–457.
Siciliano, B., & Khatib, O. (2016). Springer handbook of robotics. Springer.
Sobel, D. (2004). Place-based education: Connecting classrooms and communities. Orion Society.
Sternberg, R. J., & Lubart, T. I. (1999). The concept of creativity: Prospects and paradigms. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), Handbook of creativity (pp. 3–15). Cambridge University Press.
Symeonidis, V., & Schwarz, J. F. (2016). Phenomenon-based teaching and learning through the pedagogical lenses of phenomenology: The recent curriculum reform in Finland. Forum Oświatowe, 28(2), 31–47.
Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Human + machine: Reimagining work in the age of AI. Harvard Business Review Press.
Woolley, A. W., Chabris, C. F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., & Malone, T. W. (2010). Evidence for a collective intelligence factor in the performance of human groups. Science, 330(6004), 686–688. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193147
World Economic Forum. (2020). The future of jobs report 2020. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020
Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, 8(3), 338–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X